



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,309	02/02/2001	02/02/2001 Peter S. Tevlin		9339	
23505	7590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER		
CONLEY ROSE, P.C. P. O. BOX 3267			JAMAL, ALEXANDER		
	TX 77253-3267		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2643	2)	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,-			<u></u>					
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/776,30	99	TEVLIN, PETER S.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	_			
		Alexander		2643				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply 	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 33 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. ays, a reply within the state to properly and will apply and with by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be tir story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>11 December 2</u>	<u>000</u> .					
2a)□	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice (under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applea of the above claim(s) is/are version of the above claim(s) is/are version of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co						
Application	on Papers							
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10) 🔲 -	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	(s)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/776,309

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isenee et al. (5815153), and further in view of Arzoumanian (5963637).

As per claim 1, Isenee discloses a telephone dialing system comprising a computer system (Col 3 line 25 to Col 4 line 25) with an input and display that allow the user to select a sequence of numbers displayed on the device (Col 9 lines 5-34, Col 11 lines 30-40). The system further comprises a speaker 28 (Fig. 1). However, Isenee does not disclose that the speaker generates DTMF tones and that the user places the speaker near a DTMF receiver to make a telephone call.

Arzoumanian teaches a computer system (ABSTRACT) that dials a telephone number by placing a DTMF generating speaker near another telephone handset (a DTMF receiver) in order to make a telephone call (Col 1 line 65 to Col 2 line 35). He teaches that the acoustic dialer may reduce the complexity of manually dialing a number from a public or hotel phone (Col 1 lines 15-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application to implement an acoustic dialing method in



Art Unit: 2643

Isenee's system for the purpose of allowing the device to reduce the complexity of dialing a public phone.

As per claim 9, claim rejected as the system of the rejection of claim 1 would perform the method in claim 9. Additionally, once the dialer has acoustically dialed into a public phone system, the caller would conduct the telephone conversation using the audio receiver (public phone).

As per claim 16, claim rejected for the same reasons as claims 1 and 9.

As per claim 2, Once the dialer system of Isenee in view of Arzoumanian has acoustically dialed into a public phone system, the caller would conduct the telephone conversation using the audio receiver (public phone).

As per claims 3,4,10,11, the dialer system would inherently include the hardware (comprising a sound board, encoder/decoder, and amplifier coupled to a speaker) for the purpose of generating the DTMF tones.

As per claims 5,6,7,12,13,14, Isenee's dialer comprises the use of a mouse or keyboard to select telephone numbers (Col 12 lines 49-67).

As per claims 8,15, Arzoumanian discloses the feature of the dialer recognizing the telephone number as requiring long distance and inserting the appropriate additional tones and pauses to the dialed number (Col 2 lines 1-35).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/776,309

Art Unit: 2643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 703-305-3433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9315 for After Final communications.

AJ June 7, 2004 CURTIS KINTZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600